

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,509	08/20/2008	Fredika Robertson	22727/04421	2169
24024 CALFEE HAI	7590 01/25/201 TER & GRISWOLD, 1	EXAM	EXAMINER	
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@calfee.com dcunin@calfee.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,509	ROBERTSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christine J. Saoud	1647	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failu Any	represent on regist is specified another, term instantial souther product on apply an interpret six (by modify) from the flashing does not southern assume y product or apply and interpret six (by modify) from the flashing does not southern assume y product or regist with the set or extended period for regist with by statute, cause the application to become RAHADONES (SU.S.C. § 133) in the product of the prod				
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prio a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bureau (PC)	ve been received in Application No locuments have been received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)      Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information_Disclessure_Statement(s), (FTO/SB/00), Paper Not/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/597,509

Art Unit: 1647

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 3 and 5 have been amended as requested in the amendment filed 15 September 2009. Claims 1-24 are currently pending and the subject of the instant Office action. Applicant should note that claim 21 is missing a status identifier. This should be corrected by amendment in the next response.

Applicant should also note that the specification and claims do not refer to sequence identifiers when making reference to amino acid and nucleic acid sequences. Examination of the instant application is going to be precluded if the sequences identifiers are not provided in the next response. Applicant should refer to MPEP 2422 and 37 CFR 1.821(a)-(d). For example, it is not proper to refer to "the 145-amino acid sequence set forth in Figure 7"; it must be referred to using a sequence identifier (see 37 CFR 1.821(d)). Correction is required.

#### Flection/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, 16-17, 21, 23-24, drawn to a VEGF polypeptide of Figure 7 and method of inducing migration of vascular endothelial cells and compositions comprising or containing said polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 8-10, drawn to a method of attenuating chemotherapy toxicity.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,509

Art Unit: 1647

Group III, claim(s) 11-12, drawn to a method of lengthening the life-span of living tissue or cells by administering the polypeptide of Figure 7.

Group IV, claim(s) 13-15, drawn to a method of protecting against ischemic reperfusion injury by administering the polypeptide of Figure 7

Group V, claim(s) 18-20, drawn to a method of increasing the rate of wound healing by applying a VEGF variant.

Group VI, claim(s) 22, drawn to a method of inducing survival pathway in stem cells by administering the polypeptide of Figure 7.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: an international application must relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. Unity of invention relates to a group of inventions where there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The invention of Group I is directed to a product (VEGF-D polypeptide of Figure 7), first method of using the product (method of inducing migration) and an apparatus comprising the product. If multiple products, processes or manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims (see PCT Article 17(3)(a)). The claims are directed to multiple processes reflected in Groups II-VI. Because the application shall only relate to one invention or group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, Groups II-VI lack unity of invention with the inventions of Group I since they are additional methods and Group I already contains the first recited method of using the VEGF polypeptide of Figure 7.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Application/Control Number: 10/597,509

Art Unit: 1647

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Reioinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Art Unit: 1647

commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud whose telephone number is 571-272-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,509 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christine J Saoud/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647